

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,461	0/785,461 02/24/2004		Sylvain Hauzeray	41052/297742	8572	
23370	7590	05/04/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. P			ALLEN, ANDRE J			
1100 PEACI		KTON, LLP TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA,	GA 303	309	2855			
				DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/785	461	HAUZERAY, SYLVAIN				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		Andre J	. Allen	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>14 June 2004</i>	,					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) ☐ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 5-9 is/are objected to.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	((s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	4) Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u><b>§</b>-14-04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US 2998559) in view of Hart (US 3638491).

Art Unit: 2855

Regarding claim 1 Smith teaches concentric inner and outer tubes 10 18 (col. 1 lies 43-46) made of insulating material (col. 2 line 19 and line 28), a set of electrodes 12 16, each set having at least one pair of two electrodes in the form of strips (col. 1 lines 54-58) extending along the gauge and placed facing each other on facing walls of the inner and outer tubes (figs. 2 and 3). Smith teaches at least one capacitor 56, but does not teach two capacitors. Hart teaches a fuel gauge having concentric tubes (abstract) including at least two capacitors 24 25.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the gauge assembly taught by Smith with two capacitors as taught by Hart for the purpose of providing metering the flow of fuel into and out of a spaces between concentric tubes preventing fluttering or erratic action of the meter (Hart col. 1 lines 53-57).

Regarding claim 2 Smith teaches wherein the strips are disposed longitudinally, parallel to the axis of the tube, or else are disposed helically (fig. 6)(col. 4 lines 50-55).

Regarding claim 3 Smith teaches the strips occupy angular sectors of the same magnitude, and both sets of electrodes are identical (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 4 Smith teaches electrodes constituted solely by two strips facing each other (fig. 6).

## Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claims are deemed to be a distinct an unobvious over Smith (US 2998559) in view of Hart (US 3638491). The said claims comprise means for decoupling the two sets of electrodes, at least one of the tubes carries metal shielding or guard strips connected ground, carried by the facing faces of the tubes and separating the two sets electrodes, the outer tube carries a grounded shielded connection box containing, for each capacitor, a connector for connecting a low impedance line to an excitation electrode of the set of electrodes, and a connector for connecting a high impedance line to the other electrode of the set.

### Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andre Allen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2855

**Primary Examiner**